

AIGC New Media Copywriting: Development Trajectories and Industry-Education Integration Pathways

Lan Zhang

School of Business and Trade, Guangdong Polytechnic of Science and Trade, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, 510430, China

Abstract

The rapidly developing artificial intelligence-generated content (AIGC) technologies have profoundly reshaped the new media copywriter production scene, bringing remarkable efficiency and posing challenges for traditional creative education and industry-university collaboration models. This paper addresses three interrelated aspects: the technological advancement of AIGC within the domain of new media copywriting; the emergent tensions between algorithmic content generation and human creative abilities; and structural strategies to foster industry-academia cooperation for preparing practitioners for the AIGC era. This paper puts forward a four-pillar framework for the integration of industry and education by comparatively analysing current AIGC applications in Chinese and global media markets, empirically examining human-AI collaboration in advertising copy production, and reviewing university-enterprise cooperative education models in digital media fields, so as to align curriculum design, practical training, assessment reform, and ethical literacy with the competency requirements of AIGC-driven industries.

Keywords

AIGC; new media copywriting; industry-education integration; human-AI collaboration; curriculum reform; digital media.

1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background

Artificial intelligence-generated content (AIGC) has appeared as the third key paradigm of content production, after professional-generated content (PGC) and user-generated content (UGC). In just a few years, AIGC, powered by big language models such as GPT-4, multimodal diffusion models, and domain-specific content production systems, has evolved from an experimental novelty to essential infrastructure in the new media sector. China has seen a particularly rapid uptake of AIGC. National policy initiatives, large amounts of private capital, and a sophisticated short-video and social media ecosystem have created an environment where AIGC tools are already baked into the content production workflows of large media organisations, advertising agencies, and e-commerce operations.

One of the fields most affected by this transition is new media copywriting, which refers to composing text for social media, short video platforms, digital advertising and branded content channels. AIGC systems can write product descriptions, advertising taglines, video scripts and social media content at scale and at a tenth of the cost of equivalent human-generated output. However, this efficiency dividend comes alongside known drawbacks, including a reduced level of linguistic inventiveness compared to skilled human authors, a homogenisation of outputs from similarly prompted systems, and a vulnerability to factual error and brand-incongruent tonality. The end consequence is an environment where neither pure AIGC automation nor

traditional human-only copywriting continue to be the dominating paradigm for output; mixed human-AI collaboration models are emerging as the practical standard.

This transition is an urgent problem and a great opportunity for higher education institutions offering programmes in new media, advertising, journalism and digital communication. Today's industry doesn't need consumers of AIGC technologies, but fluent practitioners who can guide, criticise and artistically enhance AI-generated content. However, most existing curricula, which have been developed based on human-generated content creation, have not been substantially updated to include AIGC skills, leading to a widely recognised structural mismatch between education and industry that is best addressed by enhancing industry-education collaboration.

1.2. Research Objectives

This paper targets three objectives: (RQ1) to characterise the current development trajectory of AIGC in new media copywriting including capabilities and limitations; (RQ2) to analyse the skill gaps created by AIGC adoption and implications for new media talent cultivation; (RQ3) to propose evidence-based pathways for deepening industry-education integration in new media programmes in the AIGC era.

2. AIGC in New Media Copywriting: Development and Capabilities

2.1. Technological Trajectory

The development of AIGC in new media copywriting can be divided into three stages. The first phase, about 2017-2021, involved template-based and retrieval-augmented content production systems that were capable of generating structured forms (product listings, sports summaries, financial reports), but with limited contextual flexibility. The second stage, 2022-2023, saw the arrival of instruction-tuned LLMs, most notably ChatGPT, that could generate contextually responsive, stylistically diversified copy based on natural-language prompts, triggering rapid media and marketing industry adoption. This phase in China was contemporaneous with a boom in proprietary AIGC platform development by ByteDance, Baidu and Alibaba, supported by national AI development policy and the world's largest short-video producer ecosystem [1]. The third stage, starting from 2024, is characterised by the fusion of multimodal AIGC, i.e., the generation of text, image, and video within integrated content creation platforms, enabling automatic end-to-end generation of short-video scripts with matching visual elements [1][2].

This trend in the Chinese media market has been determined by certain policy dynamics. The Cyberspace Administration of China's 2023 Interim Measures for the Management of Generative Artificial Intelligence Services outlined a regulatory framework that mandated AIGC labelling, algorithmic transparency and the prevention of false information generation by service providers and set the stage for the professional application of AIGC in Chinese media contexts [2]. At the platform level, major new media operators have integrated proprietary AIGC systems into their creator ecosystems, offering AI-assisted content creation for millions of individual and commercial producers. For example, the integration of AI writing assistants by ByteDance into the Douyin and Toutiao platforms enables the industrial-scale automated production of video scripts, product copy, and news summaries, representing a qualitative change in the volume and velocity of AI-mediated content circulating in Chinese digital media environments [2]. This fast normalisation of AIGC as a professional production tool has profoundly changed the baseline expectations of industry employers regarding the AIGC competencies of new media graduates.

2.2. Capabilities and Limitations in Copywriting Contexts

Empirical research on the performance of AIGC in advertising and marketing copywriting offers a mixed picture of complementing strengths and recurring constraints. Controlled trials reveal

that advertising slogans developed by LLMs are rated to be much more creative, relevant and convincing than those produced by the average human creator, but with significantly less variability of output. This trade-off limits the effectiveness of AIGC as an ideation engine in itself in situations where numerous conceptually different creative avenues are needed [3]. Another related and consequential finding is about the modality of human-AI collaboration: using LLMs as “sounding boards” – giving feedback on human-drafted copy – substantially improves output quality for less experienced copywriters, whereas using LLMs as “ghostwriters” to generate primary draft content leads to an anchoring effect that degrades quality for expert copywriters constrained by the AI’s framing [4].

The creative barrier between AIGC and experienced human authors is also manifested in the basic structure of language expression, not merely in the quality of individual production. Research on linguistic originality demonstrates that the creativity index of talented human writers is on average 66% higher than LLM outputs and that alignment fine-tuning diminishes LLM linguistic diversity by an average of 30% [5]. These boundaries are materially important for new-media copywriting, in which tonal distinctiveness, brand-voice fidelity and culturally resonant expression command a premium. They define the boundary circumstances under which AIGC automation is most successfully employed. They also underline the irreplaceable relevance of qualified human practitioners in AI-augmented production workflows. In conclusion, AIGC is a volume engine, and requires smart human oversight to inject the brand distinction, cultural resonance and strategic coherence that separates good new media copy from commodity-grade content.

3. Skill Gaps and Competency Requirements in the AIGC Era

3.1. Emerging Competency Architecture

AIGC-augmented content generation has not made copywriting competency obsolete but has redesigned its design into three distinct tiers. The first step is AIGC tool literacy, the ability to operate LLM platforms, construct effective prompts, and enhance AI output through iterative guided feedback. The middle layer is about editorial and curatorial skills: the capacity to critically review AIGC outputs for accuracy, brand consistency, tone, and cultural sensitivity, and to modify or steer AI-generated material for better results. The higher level focuses on strategic and creative skills, including the design and management of AI-mediated content campaigns, the integration of AIGC into multi-channel production workflows, and the use of uniquely human skills such as empathy, cultural interpretation, and ethical judgement that AIGC systems cannot structurally replicate [6]. Such a three-tier architecture should be the competency target for the new media education .

3.2. The Human-AI Collaboration Model

There is a growing consensus in industry experience and academic study that the relationship between human copywriters and AIGC systems is collaborative, not substitutive in terms of productivity. AIGC does volume, velocity and structural creation; human practitioners do creative guidance, quality assurance and brand intelligence. This category is underpinned by empirical studies on the integration of AI in journalism education . While AI tools can augment student speed and content generation, the skills of critical thinking, editorial judgement, and source evaluation, which represent the intermediate and advanced stages discussed above, are uniquely human developmental achievements that must be nurtured through deliberate pedagogical approaches [7]. This finding has obvious implications for curriculum design; AIGC tool training and human creative competency development must be cultivated concomitantly, not AIGC fluency as a substitute for core craft skills. Generative AI marketing literature describes AI cooperation as increasing the performance of non-expert practitioners to the

expert level . In contrast, professionals' incorrect reliance on AI is detrimental to high-level creative production . This asymmetry is significant pedagogically: entry-level AIGC skill training is most useful for students with limited creative writing background and students with significant craft abilities require explicit direction on how to sustain creative independence in the context of AIGC fluency. Therefore, a good programme design would differentiate AIGC training pathways based on the creative entry competence of student cohorts, rather than construct a comparable AI integration curriculum for heterogeneous groupings.

4. Industry-Education Integration Pathways

4.1. Curriculum Redesign: Embedding AIGC Competencies

The basic way to merge industry and education in AIGC new media programs is to systematically re-design the curriculum, and integrate the three-tier AIGC competency architecture into the existing core modules. In the digital media education research, it is found that the direct connection of the renewal of course content with the current technical requirements of enterprises can greatly improve the applied abilities and employment results of students [8]. The implementation of AIGC new media programs necessitates continuous cooperation between curriculum and media companies and advertising agencies so that the AIGC tools, workflow architectures and quality standards integrated in the course content are kept current with industry practice, which is changing faster than any fixed curriculum update cycle can keep up with.

One of the biggest parts of the curriculum overhaul is re-calibrating assessment to the abilities of the AIGC period. Traditional measures of copywriting based on length and completion of student-sourced text do not translate well to the hybrid human-AI production setting. Redesign assessments to enable guiding and critically reviewing AIGC, including quality of prompt engineering, editorial refinement judgement and capacity to convey the creative and strategic rationale behind adjustments to AIGC outputs. Meaningful integration of AI in higher education demands a re-evaluation not only of course content, but of the design of assessment, institutional learning frameworks, and the very metrics by which graduate readiness is judged – a systemic transformation that cannot be achieved by the innovation of individual faculty members alone [9].

4.2. Industry Partnership Platforms: Practicum and Live-Project Immersion

The second is to develop a systematic platform of industry engagement, where students will engage in long-term internship in AIGC-enabled new media companies. The research on school-enterprise cooperation in AI-related fields shows that students' practical competence and employment competitiveness can be significantly improved through real enterprise projects instead of classroom simulations [10]. For AIGC new media programs, the optimal cooperation mode is co-designed arrangements. Enterprises co-define learning outcomes and project briefs, provide access to proprietary AIGC platforms and production environments, and participate in student assessment, which builds a direct pipeline between educational training and industry-ready competency.

Further structural opportunities for vocational and applied university programmes were in the joint development of AIGC practice laboratories i.e. collaborative facilities where industry partners provide access to professional-grade tools and genuine business briefs. Students do these briefings under the joint supervision of academic personnel and industry practitioners, resulting in quick development of practical skills that improves graduate employability and offers employers with direct input into the talent pipeline [10]. The IIEP of UNESCO has demonstrated that the use of data-based industry and education mapping tools can successfully align vocational training content with real-time labour market requirements in eighteen

sectors and provide a validated methodology that AIGC new media programmes can use for curriculum-industry alignment in an environment where occupational skill requirements change monthly rather than annually [13].

4.3. Faculty Development: Dual-Qualification Models

Faculty expertise is important to the success of curriculum restructuring and industry practicum programs. The fast evolution of AIGC has generated an enormous instructor gap: educators who were trained in pre-AIGC production contexts are ill-equipped to teach AIGC tool literacy or to build assessments that include AIGC. Industry-education integration addresses this through dual-qualification faculty development, where current instructors participate in structured secondments to industry partners to acquire up-to-date AIGC competencies, and seasoned industry AIGC practitioners are hired as adjunct or visiting instructors. Global assessments of AI integration in technical and vocational education typically identify the importance of AIGC-competent instructors as the constraining limitation on programme quality [11].

4.4. Ethical Literacy and Regulatory Competency

The fourth and distinctively educational method is AIGC ethical literacy, the informed ability to address the copyright, credit, misinformation and platform compliance challenges of professional AIGC use. But this competency is not formed only by immersion in the industry but also by rigorous academic interaction with regulatory frameworks, ethical principles and case-based reasoning about the roles of practitioners of AI-mediated content. The Chinese regulatory system, with its specific AIGC labelling laws and content review obligations, defines clear professional standards that training programmes are ideally placed to satisfy. Research on media literacy and the dissemination of AIGC has found that the present percentage of AI-generated content is about 32% of digital content. The public's capacity to critically assess AIGC-produced content is far behind the pace of dissemination and it is incumbent on qualified professionals to exercise strict editorial judgement and transparency [12]. A systematic way of this part of AIGC literacy is to include the UNESCO principles on AI governance and ethical use in programme learning outcomes [9]. Of particular curricular interest are the copyright and attribution aspects of AIGC use: the legal status of AI-generated content under existing intellectual property regimes remains disputed across jurisdictions and practitioners in new media using AIGC tools commercially risk professional liability if they are unable to distinguish between human-authored and AI-generated material in their outputs or inadvertently reproduce copyrighted training-data content in AIGC-generated copy. Therefore, systematic case-based instruction on AIGC copyright liabilities, platform content labelling requirements and professional standards of disclosure is a basic rather than minor aspect of AIGC ethical literacy education.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

5.1. Synthesis

What our analysis has revealed is that AIGC is transforming new media copywriting on a trajectory from automated generation to sophisticated human-AI collaboration, forming a multilayered competency architecture that existing curricula are fundamentally ill-equipped to foster. This imbalance is addressed in the four-pillar integration architecture — curriculum redesign, industry practicum platforms, dual-qualification faculty development and AIGC ethical literacy — which deepens the institutional connectivity between educational programmes and the AIGC-enabled new media business. All pillars are interconnected: curriculum redesign without faculty development is useless; practicum immersion without ethical literacy preparation makes graduates vulnerable to professional and regulatory risk.

5.2. Limitations and Future Directions

This paper is a synthesis of published academic and policy literature and does not report any primary empirical data from cohorts of students or practitioners. With the fast development of AIGC, the technology features described here will change. However, the competency architecture and the four-pillar integration framework proposed are robust to changes in specific tools because they address the structural relationships between human creative competency, AI augmentation, and institutional alignment—not any specific platform or model. Future longitudinal survey or quasi-experimental studies of the effectiveness of specific integration models, especially dual-qualification faculty development and AIGC practicum laboratory approaches, within AIGC new media programmes would contribute greatly to the evidence base for the framework proposed herein.

References

- Liu, Y., Han, X., Ma, M., & Zhang, J. (2023). AIGC in China: Current developments and future outlook. arXiv Preprint arXiv:2308.08451. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.08451>
- Zhao, X., Wang, L., & Sun, H. (2023). The impact of AIGC on content production of Chinese media platforms. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 6(4), 1-10.
- Bao, C., Li, X., & Zheng, R. (2024). Chat-GPT4 can enhance marketing creativity, but human ego can hamper its potential. SSRN Working Paper 5135496. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5135496>
- Meindl, R., Guha, S., & Garg, V. (2024). Large language model in creative work: The role of collaboration modality. *Management Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2023.03014>
- Chakrabarty, P., Laban, P., & Wu, C. (2025). AI as humanity's Salieri: Quantifying linguistic creativity of large language models. *OpenReview*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.02741>
- Jalalinejad, R. A. (2024). The role of generative AI in advertising (Master's thesis). University of Padova.
- Rahmatulloh, I., & Yusupova, M. (2025). Enhancing critical thinking and journalism skills through artificial intelligence literacy: A case study. University of Limerick Research Repository.
- Yin, C. (2023). Research on the collaborative education model for digital media technology talents in university-enterprise cooperation. *Francis Academic Press Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.25236/ETMHS.2023.032>
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2023). Harnessing the era of artificial intelligence in higher education: A primer for higher education stakeholders. UNESCO Digital Library. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386670>
- Chen, Z., Li, W., & Fang, X. (2024). School-enterprise cooperation and AI interdisciplinary curriculum: Improving students' application and innovation capabilities. *Scholar Publishing Group Proceedings*, 1-8.
- Nguyen, T., & Osei, A. (2024). AI in technical and vocational education: A comprehensive mini-review. *HRMARS Journal of Education*, 1-18.
- Lavoie, A. (2024). The rise of artificial intelligence and the importance of media literacy education (Communication Honors thesis). Trinity University Digital Commons.
- Poisson, M. (2025, June). Using AI and digital tools to modernize TVET in a shifting global job market. IIEP-UNESCO. <https://www.iiep.unesco.org/en/articles/sponsored/2025/6/using-ai-and-digital-tools-modernize-tvet-shifting-global-job-market>